

# Generalizations of a theorem of Herman and a new proof of the simplicity of $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})_0$

Tomasz Rybicki (joint with Stefan Haller and Josef Teichmann)

AGH University of Science and Technology

Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $\mathbf{n}$ . By  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})$  we will denote the group of compactly supported diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbf{M}$ . We shall consider a Lie group structure on  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})$  in the sense of the convenient setting of Kriegl and Michor [10]. In particular, we assume that  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})$  is endowed with the  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ -topology [10, Section 4], i.e. the final topology with respect to all smooth curves. For compact  $\mathbf{M}$  the  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ -topology on  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})$  coincides with the Whitney  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ -topology, cf. [10, Theorem 4.11(1)]. In general the  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ -topology on  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})$  is strictly finer than the one induced from the Whitney  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ -topology, cf. [10, Section 4.26]. The latter coincides with the inductive limit topology  $\lim_{\mathbf{K}} \text{Diff}_K^\infty(\mathbf{M})$  where  $\mathbf{K}$  runs through all compact subsets of  $\mathbf{M}$ .

Given smooth complete vector fields  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_N$  on  $\mathbf{M}$ , we consider the map

$$\mathbf{K}: \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})^N \rightarrow \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M}), \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{K}(\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_N) := [\mathbf{g}_1, \exp(\mathbf{X}_1)] \circ \dots \circ [\mathbf{g}_N, \exp(\mathbf{X}_N)].$$

Here  $\exp(\mathbf{X})$  denotes the flow of a complete vector field  $\mathbf{X}$  at time  $\mathbf{1}$ , and  $[\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{h}] := \mathbf{k} \circ \mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{k}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{h}^{-1}$  denotes the commutator of two diffeomorphisms  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{h}$ . It is readily checked that  $\mathbf{K}$  is smooth. Indeed, one only has to observe that  $\mathbf{K}$  maps smooth curves to smooth curves, cf. [10, Section 27.2]. Clearly  $\mathbf{K}(\text{id}, \dots, \text{id}) = \text{id}$ .

A smooth local right inverse at the identity for  $\mathbf{K}$  consists of an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of the identity in  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})$  together with a smooth map

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N): \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})^N$$

so that  $\sigma(\text{id}) = (\text{id}, \dots, \text{id})$  and  $\mathbf{K} \circ \sigma = \text{id}_{\mathcal{U}}$ . More explicitly, we require that each  $\sigma_i: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})$  is smooth with  $\sigma_i(\text{id}) = \text{id}$  and, for all  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{U}$ ,

$$\mathbf{g} = [\sigma_1(\mathbf{g}), \exp(\mathbf{X}_1)] \circ \dots \circ [\sigma_N(\mathbf{g}), \exp(\mathbf{X}_N)].$$

We present two results which generalize a well-known theorem of Herman for  $\mathbf{M}$  being the torus [8, 9].

## Theorem 1

Suppose  $\mathbf{M}$  is a smooth manifold of dimension  $\mathbf{n} \geq 2$ . Then there exist four smooth complete vector fields  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_4$  on  $\mathbf{M}$  so that the map  $\mathbf{K}$ , see (1), admits a smooth local right inverse at the identity,  $\mathbf{N} = 4$ . Moreover, the vector fields  $\mathbf{X}_i$  may be chosen arbitrarily close to zero with respect to the strong Whitney  $\mathbf{C}^0$ -topology. If  $\mathbf{M}$  admits a proper (circle valued) Morse function whose critical points all have index  $\mathbf{0}$  or  $\mathbf{n}$ , then the same statement remains true with three vector fields.

Particularly, on the manifolds  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{S}^n, \mathbf{T}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{n} \geq 2$ , or the total space of a compact smooth fiber bundle  $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$ , three commutators are sufficient. At the expense of more commutators, it is possible to gain further control on the vector fields. More precisely, we have:

## Theorem 2

Suppose  $\mathbf{M}$  is a smooth manifold of dimension  $\mathbf{n} \geq 2$  and set  $\mathbf{N} := \mathbf{6}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1})$ . Then there exist smooth complete vector fields  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_N$  on  $\mathbf{M}$  so that the map  $\mathbf{K}$ , see (1), admits a smooth local right inverse at the identity. Moreover, the vector fields  $\mathbf{X}_i$  may be chosen arbitrarily close to zero with respect to the strong Whitney  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ -topology.

Either of the two theorems implies that  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})_0$ , the connected component of the identity, is a perfect group, provided  $\mathbf{M}$  is not  $\mathbb{R}$ . Our proof rests on Herman's result similarly as that of [17] (see [2]), but is otherwise elementary and different from Thurston's approach. In fact we only need Herman's result in dimension  $\mathbf{1}$ .

The perfectness of  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})_0$  was already proved by Epstein [5] using ideas of Mather [11, 12] who dealt with the  $\mathbf{C}^r$ -case,  $\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{r} < \infty$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ . The Epstein–Mather proof is based on a sophisticated construction, and uses the Schauder–Tychonov fixed point theorem. The existence of a presentation

$$\mathbf{g} = [\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{k}_1] \circ \dots \circ [\mathbf{h}_N, \mathbf{k}_N]$$

is guaranteed, but without any further control on the factors  $\mathbf{h}_i$  and  $\mathbf{k}_i$ . Theorem 1 or 2 actually implies that the universal covering of  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})_0$  is a perfect group. This result is known, too, see [17]. Thurston's proof is based on a result of Herman for the torus [8, 9]. Note that the perfectness of  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})_0$  implies that this group is simple, see Epstein [4]. The methods used in [4] are elementary and actually work for a rather large class of homeomorphism groups.

One could believe that the phenomenon of smooth perfectness described in Theorems 1 and 2 would be also true for some classical diffeomorphism groups which are simple, e.g. for the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism group of a closed symplectic manifold [1], or for the contactomorphism group of an arbitrary co-oriented contact manifold [15]. However, the available methods seem to be useless for possible proofs of their smooth perfectness. Another open problem related to the above theorems is whether a smooth *global* right inverse at the identity for  $\mathbf{K}$  would exist. A possible answer in the affirmative seems to be equally difficult. Consequently, it would be difficult to improve Theorems 1 and 2 as they are in any possible direction.

Another essential and important way to generalize the simplicity theorems for  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})_0$ , where  $\mathbf{1} \leq \mathbf{r} \leq \infty$ ,  $\mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1}$ , is to consider the uniform perfectness or, more generally, the boundedness of the groups in question. In particular, we ask if the presentation  $\mathbf{g} = [\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{k}_1] \circ \dots \circ [\mathbf{h}_N, \mathbf{k}_N]$  is available for all  $\mathbf{g} \in \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})_0$  with  $\mathbf{N}$  bounded. This property has been proved in the recent papers by Burago, Ivanov and Polterovich [3], and Tsuboi [18], [19], [20], for a large class of manifolds. For instance,  $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{10}$  was obtained in [3] for any closed three dimensional manifold, and then it was improved in [18] to  $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{6}$  for any closed odd dimensional manifold. It seems that the methods of [3], [18], [19] and [20] combined with our Theorem 2 would give some analogue of Theorem 1, but certainly not with the presentation (1) and the condition on  $\mathbf{X}_i$ . Also  $\mathbf{N}$  could not be smaller in this way. Another advantage of Theorem 1 is that it is valid for all smooth paracompact manifolds. See also [16] for diffeomorphism groups with no restriction of support.

Let  $\mathbf{T}^n := \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$  denote the torus. For  $\lambda \in \mathbf{T}^n$  we let  $\mathbf{R}_\lambda \in \text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbf{T}^n)$  denote the corresponding rotation. The main ingredient in the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 is the following result of Herman [9, 8].

## Theorem 3 (Herman)

There exist  $\gamma \in \mathbf{T}^n$  so that the smooth map

$$\mathbf{T}^n \times \text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbf{T}^n) \rightarrow \text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbf{T}^n), \quad (\lambda, \mathbf{g}) \mapsto \mathbf{R}_\lambda \circ [\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{R}_\gamma],$$

admits a smooth local right inverse at the identity. Moreover,  $\gamma$  may be chosen arbitrarily close to the identity in  $\mathbf{T}^n$ .

Herman's result is an application of the Nash–Moser inverse function theorem. When inverting the derivative one is quickly led to solve the linear equation  $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} - (\mathbf{R}_\gamma)_* \mathbf{X}$  for given  $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbf{T}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . This is accomplished using Fourier transformation. Here one has to choose  $\gamma$  sufficiently irrational so that tame estimates on the Sobolev norms of  $\mathbf{X}$  in terms of the Sobolev norms of  $\mathbf{Y}$  can be obtained. The corresponding small denominator problem can be solved due to a number theoretic result of Khintchine.

We shall make use of the following corollary of Herman's result.

## Proposition 4

There exist smooth vector fields  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3$  on  $\mathbf{T}^n$  so that the smooth map  $\text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbf{T}^n)^3 \rightarrow \text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbf{T}^n)$ ,

$$(\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2, \mathbf{g}_3) \mapsto [\mathbf{g}_1, \exp(\mathbf{X}_1)] \circ [\mathbf{g}_2, \exp(\mathbf{X}_2)] \circ [\mathbf{g}_3, \exp(\mathbf{X}_3)],$$

admits a smooth local right inverse at the identity. Moreover, the vector fields  $\mathbf{X}_i$  may be chosen arbitrarily close to zero with respect to the Whitney  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ -topology.

The following lemma leads to a decomposition of a diffeomorphism into factors which are leaf preserving. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a smooth foliation of  $\mathbf{M}$  we let  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M}; \mathcal{F})$  denote the group of compactly supported diffeomorphisms preserving the leaves of  $\mathcal{F}$ . This is a regular Lie group modelled on the convenient vector space of compactly supported smooth vector fields tangential to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

## Lemma 5

Suppose  $\mathbf{M}_1$  and  $\mathbf{M}_2$  are two finite dimensional smooth manifolds and set  $\mathbf{M} := \mathbf{M}_1 \times \mathbf{M}_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  denote the foliations with leaves  $\mathbf{M}_1 \times \{\text{pt}\}$  and  $\{\text{pt}\} \times \mathbf{M}_2$  on  $\mathbf{M}$ , respectively. Then the smooth map

$$\mathbf{F}: \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M}; \mathcal{F}_1) \times \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M}; \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M}), \quad \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2) := \mathbf{g}_1 \circ \mathbf{g}_2,$$

is a local diffeomorphism at the identity.

Now we need a version of the exponential law.

## Lemma 6

Suppose  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{T}$  are finite dimensional smooth manifolds, assume  $\mathbf{T}$  compact, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the foliation with leaves  $\{\text{pt}\} \times \mathbf{T}$  on  $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{T}$ . Then the canonical bijection

$$\mathbf{C}_c^\infty(\mathbf{B}, \text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbf{T})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{T}; \mathcal{F})$$

is an isomorphism of regular Lie groups.

Another ingredient of the proof is a smooth fragmentation of diffeomorphisms.

Suppose  $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{M}$  is an open subset. Every compactly supported diffeomorphism of  $\mathbf{U}$  can be regarded as a compactly supported diffeomorphism of  $\mathbf{M}$  by extending it identically outside  $\mathbf{U}$ . The resulting injective homomorphism  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})$  is clearly smooth. Note, however, that a curve in  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{U})$ , which is smooth when considered as a curve in  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})$ , need not be smooth as a curve into  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{U})$ . Nevertheless, if there exists a closed subset  $\mathbf{A}$  of  $\mathbf{M}$  with  $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{U}$  and if the curve has support contained in  $\mathbf{A}$ , then one can conclude that the curve is also smooth in  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{U})$ .

## Proposition 7 (Fragmentation)

Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $\mathbf{n}$ , and suppose  $\mathbf{U}_1, \dots, \mathbf{U}_k$  is an open covering of  $\mathbf{M}$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{U}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbf{U}_k$ . Then the smooth map

$$\mathbf{P}: \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{U}_1) \times \dots \times \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{U}_k) \rightarrow \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M}), \quad \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{g}_1, \dots, \mathbf{g}_k) := \mathbf{g}_1 \circ \dots \circ \mathbf{g}_k,$$

admits a smooth local right inverse at the identity.

Proceeding as in [3] permits to reduce the number of commutators considerably, see also [18] and [19].

## Proposition 8

Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $\mathbf{n} \geq 2$  and put  $\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{6}(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{1})$ . Moreover, let  $\mathbf{U}$  an open subset of  $\mathbf{M}$  and suppose  $\phi \in \text{Diff}^\infty(\mathbf{M})$ , not necessarily with compact support, such that the closures of the subsets

$$\mathbf{U}, \phi(\mathbf{U}), \phi^2(\mathbf{U}), \dots, \phi^{\mathbf{N}}(\mathbf{U})$$

are mutually disjoint. Then there exists a smooth complete vector field  $\mathbf{X}$  on  $\mathbf{M}$ , a  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ -open neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of the identity in  $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{U})$ , and smooth maps  $\varrho_1, \varrho_2: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbf{M})$  so that  $\varrho_1(\text{id}) = \varrho_2(\text{id}) = \text{id}$  and, for all  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{U}$ ,

$$\mathbf{g} = [\varrho_1(\mathbf{g}), \phi] \circ [\varrho_2(\mathbf{g}), \exp(\mathbf{X})].$$

Moreover, the vector field  $\mathbf{X}$  may be chosen arbitrarily close to zero in the strong Whitney  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ -topology on  $\mathbf{M}$ .

Now, by applying the Morse theory ([13], [14]) we get

## Lemma 9

Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $\mathbf{n}$ . Then there exists an open covering  $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{U}_1 \cup \mathbf{U}_2 \cup \mathbf{U}_3$  and smooth complete vector fields  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3$  on  $\mathbf{M}$  so that  $\exp(\mathbf{X}_1)(\mathbf{U}_1) \subseteq \mathbf{U}_2$ ,  $\exp(\mathbf{X}_2)(\mathbf{U}_2) \subseteq \mathbf{U}_3$ , and such that the closures of the sets

$$\mathbf{U}_3, \exp(\mathbf{X}_3)(\mathbf{U}_3), \exp(\mathbf{X}_3)^2(\mathbf{U}_3), \dots$$

are mutually disjoint. Moreover, the vector fields  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{X}_3$  may be chosen arbitrarily close to zero with respect to the strong Whitney  $\mathbf{C}^0$ -topology. If  $\mathbf{M}$  admits a proper (circle valued) Morse function whose critical points all have index  $\mathbf{0}$  or  $\mathbf{n}$ , then we may, moreover, choose  $\mathbf{U}_1 = \emptyset$  and  $\mathbf{X}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ .

Theorem 1 is then a consequence of Lemma 9.

## References

- [1] A. Banyaga, *Sur la structure du groupe des difféomorphismes qui préservent une forme symplectique*, Comment. Math. Helv. **53** (1978), 174–227.
- [2] A. Banyaga, *The structure of classical diffeomorphism groups*, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [3] D. Burago, S. Ivanov and L. Polterovich, *Conjugation-invariant norms on groups of geometric origin*, Groups of diffeomorphisms, 221–250, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **52**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008.
- [4] D.B.A. Epstein, *The simplicity of certain groups of homeomorphisms*, Compositio Math. **22**(1970), 165–173.
- [5] D.B.A. Epstein, *Commutators of  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ -diffeomorphisms. Appendix to: "A curious remark concerning the geometric transfer map" by John N. Mather*, Comment. Math. Helv. **59**(1984), 111–122.
- [6] S. Haller, T. Rybicki and J. Teichmann, *Smooth perfectness for the group of diffeomorphisms*, J. Geom. Mech. (in press)
- [7] S. Haller and J. Teichmann, *Smooth perfectness through decomposition of diffeomorphisms into fiber preserving ones*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **23**(2003), 53–63.
- [8] M.R. Herman, *Simplicité du groupe des difféomorphismes de classe  $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ , isotopes à l'identité, du tore de dimension  $\mathbf{n}$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. A **273**(1971), 232–234.
- [9] M.R. Herman, *Sur le groupe des difféomorphismes du tore*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **23**(1973), 75–86.
- [10] A. Kriegl and P.W. Michor, *The convenient setting of global analysis*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **53**, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [11] J.N. Mather, *Commutators of diffeomorphisms*, Comment. Math. Helv. **49**(1974), 512–528.
- [12] J.N. Mather, *Commutators of diffeomorphisms. II*, Comment. Math. Helv. **50**(1975), 33–40.
- [13] J. Milnor, *Morse theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **51**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1963.
- [14] S.P. Novikov, *Multivalued functions and functionals. An analogue of the Morse theory*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **260**(1981), 31–35. English translation: Soviet Math. Dokl. **24**(1981), 222–226(1982).
- [15] T. Rybicki, *Commutators of contactomorphisms*, Adv. Math. **225**(2010), 3291–3326.
- [16] T. Rybicki, *Boundedness of certain automorphism groups of an open manifold*, Geometriae Dedicata **151**,1(2011), 175–186.
- [17] W. Thurston, *Foliations and groups of diffeomorphisms*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80**(1974), 304–307.
- [18] T. Tsuboi, *On the uniform perfectness of diffeomorphism groups*, Groups of diffeomorphisms, 505–524, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **52**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2008.
- [19] T. Tsuboi, *On the uniform simplicity of diffeomorphism groups*, Differential geometry, 43–55, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2009.
- [20] T. Tsuboi, *On the uniform perfectness of the groups of diffeomorphisms of even-dimensional manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **87**,1(2012), 141–185.